Risk Management and Uncertainty Interplay

Olusola E. Igbekoyi[1], Oluyinka I. Oluwagbade[2], & Muyiwa E. Dagunduro[3]
[1] Department of Accounting, Adekunle Ajasin University Akungba-Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria.
Email: olusola.igbekoyi@aau.edu.ng[1]
[2&3] Department of Accounting, Afe Babalola University Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.
Email: oluwagbadeoi@abuad.edu.ng[2]; dagundurome@pg.abuad.edu.ng[3]
DOI: 10.56201/ijefm.v9.no6.2024.pg188.198

Abstract
In the dynamic landscape of contemporary business environments, organizations encounter an array of challenges, among which risk management and uncertainty stand as formidable adversaries. The intricate interplay of global markets, technological advancements, and socio-political shifts has accentuated the need for a nuanced understanding and effective management of risks. This study seeks to unravel the dynamics of risk management and uncertainty, examining its sources, impact on organizational performance, and strategies for fostering resilience in the face of unpredictable scenarios. This study utilized an exploratory research design, and data were collected from secondary sources through a thorough review of relevant existing literature. The results underscored the complex interaction between risk management practices and uncertainty concerning organizational performance. The findings showed that organizations exhibiting effective and robust risk management practices, coupled with managing uncertainty adeptly, tended to achieve superior performance. In conclusion, the study emphasizes the importance of an integrated approach to risk management and uncertainty for organizational success. Based on the findings, it is recommended that organizations should adopt integrated risk management strategies that consider both internal and external uncertainties to enhance overall effectiveness.

Keywords: *Risk management practices, uncertainty, organizational performance*

1. Introduction

In the dynamic landscape of contemporary business environments, organizations encounter an array of challenges, among which risk management and uncertainty stand as formidable adversaries. The intricate interplay of global markets, technological advancements, and socio-political shifts has accentuated the need for a nuanced understanding and effective management of risks. (Bensaid et al., 2021; Oluwagbade et al., 2023).

The pervasive nature of risks, ranging from financial market fluctuations to geopolitical tensions, underscores the indispensability of robust risk management frameworks (Adebayo et al., 2020). In an era marked by unprecedented interconnectedness, events in one part of the world can reverberate across industries and continents, necessitating proactive and adaptive risk mitigation strategies. Moreover, the accelerating pace of technological innovation introduces novel risks,

demanding continuous refinement of risk management practices to safeguard organizational assets and interests (Ahmed et al., 2018; Odubasi et al., 2020).

Uncertainty, an inherent companion to risk, adds a layer of complexity to decision-making processes. As organizations navigate through turbulent environments, the ability to make informed decisions amid ambiguity becomes a strategic imperative (Sie & Azlan, 2019). This article seeks to unravel the dynamics of risk management and uncertainty, examining its sources, impact on organizational performance, and strategies for fostering resilience in the face of unpredictable scenarios. This article delves into the multifaceted realm of risk management and uncertainty, aiming to contribute valuable insights and methodologies to the scholarly discourse.

Against this backdrop, the forthcoming sections of this article will explore key dimensions of risk management and uncertainty. From examining contemporary risk assessment methodologies to delving into the role of technological advancements in reshaping risk landscapes, each facet will be scrutinized to distill actionable insights for practitioners and scholars alike. By fostering a comprehensive understanding of risk management and uncertainty, this article aspires to contribute to the intellectual foundations that underpin effective decision-making and organizational resilience in today's volatile and uncertain world.

2. Literature and Theoretical Review

This section presents important studies relevant to the variables analyzed in this research. It examines earlier findings to offer context and background, emphasizing the connections between these studies and their contributions to the current investigation.

2.1 Risk Management

Risk management is a systematic process of identifying, assessing, prioritizing, and mitigating potential uncertainties or threats that may impact the achievement of objectives within an organization. It involves a structured approach to understanding, evaluating, and controlling various forms of risk to minimize the negative consequences and optimize opportunities (Adegoke & Oyedeko, 2018). In essence, risk management aims to enhance decision-making by providing a framework for proactively addressing uncertainties, ensuring that organizations can navigate challenges effectively while making informed choices to achieve their goals. This process is applicable across various domains, including finance, project management, business operations, and strategic planning (Alasin & Briggd, 2018; Gacheru, 2021; Tapang et al., 2022).

2.1.1 Risk assessment methodologies

Risk assessment methodologies refer to systematic approaches, techniques, or processes used to identify, analyze, and evaluate potential risks within a given system, project, or organization. These methodologies are employed to understand the nature, magnitude, and impact of risks, enabling informed decision-making and the development of effective risk management strategies (Hamdan, 2020). Different industries and contexts may utilize specific methodologies tailored to their needs, but the primary goal is to assess and manage risks in a structured and systematic manner. These methodologies are often tailored to the specific needs and characteristics of an organization, industry, or project (Apochi et al., 2020). The selection of a particular methodology depends on factors such as the nature of the risks, available resources, organizational culture, and the desired level of detail and precision in the risk assessment process. The following are key components often associated with risk assessment methodologies:

Identification of Risks: The process of recognizing and listing potential risks that could impact objectives, projects, or operations. Brainstorming sessions, documentation reviews, and expert interviews (Kakanda et al., 2017.

Risk Analysis: In-depth examination of identified risks to determine their characteristics, potential consequences, and likelihood of occurrence. This includes qualitative analysis, quantitative analysis, and scenario analysis.

Risk Evaluation: Assigning values or scores to risks based on their potential impact and likelihood, leading to prioritization. This includes risk matrices, risk scoring, and risk categorization.

Mitigation and Control: Developing strategies and measures to reduce the likelihood or impact of identified risks. This includes risk treatment plans, risk control measures, and contingency planning.

Monitoring and Review: Regularly assessing and reassessing risks to ensure that risk management strategies remain effective and relevant. By continuous monitoring, periodic reviews, and key performance indicators.

Documentation: Recording and maintaining comprehensive documentation of the entire risk assessment process, including identified risks and risk management actions. This includes risk registers, risk reports, and documentation templates.

Stakeholder Involvement: Engaging relevant stakeholders throughout the risk assessment process to gather diverse perspectives and insights. Through workshops, interviews, surveys, and communication plans.

Scenario Planning: Exploring various potential future scenarios to understand the implications of different risk outcomes. Through what-if analysis, scenario workshops, and gaming.

Historical Data Analysis: Analyzing past incidents or performance data to identify patterns, trends, and potential risks. Through trend analysis, lessons learned reviews and historical data review.

Continuous Improvement: Integrating feedback and lessons learned into future risk assessments, ensuring an iterative and evolving risk management process. By conducting post-implementation reviews, and feedback mechanisms.

2.1.2 Role of technological advancements in reshaping risk landscapes

Technological advancements play a crucial role in reshaping risk landscapes across various industries and sectors. The impact of technology on risk is multifaceted, influencing how organizations identify, assess, and respond to risks (Awotomilusi et al., 2023; Oluwagbade et al., 2023). Effectively navigating these technological risks requires organizations to adopt robust risk management practices, stay informed about emerging technologies, and cultivate a culture of adaptability and innovation. Continuous monitoring, proactive risk assessment, and the integration of technology into risk management processes are essential components of managing the evolving risk landscape shaped by technological advancements Lamidi et al., 2022). The following are several ways in which technological advancements contribute to reshaping risk landscapes:

Increased Connectivity and Interdependence: The proliferation of digital technologies and connectivity has created a highly interconnected global business environment. Interdependence increases the likelihood of cascading effects, where a disruption in one area or sector can quickly propagate through interconnected systems (Lamidi et al., 2022).

Cybersecurity Risks: The reliance on digital infrastructure exposes organizations to cybersecurity threats and vulnerabilities. Cyberattacks, data breaches, and ransomware incidents pose significant risks to data integrity, privacy, and overall business operations.

Data Privacy Concerns: The collection and utilization of vast amounts of data by organizations raise concerns about privacy and data protection. Non-compliance with data protection regulations may result in legal and reputational risks, as well as financial penalties.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Automation: The adoption of AI and automation enhances operational efficiency and decision-making processes. Risks associated with algorithmic biases, job displacement, and ethical considerations arise, necessitating careful management.

Supply Chain Disruptions: Advanced technologies enable complex and globalized supply chains. Disruptions due to geopolitical events, natural disasters, or technological failures can have widespread consequences, highlighting the need for resilient supply chain strategies.

Emerging Technologies and Unknown Risks: Rapid advancements in technologies such as blockchain, quantum computing, and biotechnology introduce novel risks. Organizations face uncertainties and challenges in assessing and preparing for risks associated with emerging technologies that may not yet be fully understood.

Climate Change and Technology Solutions: Technology plays a role in both contributing to climate change and providing solutions for mitigation and adaptation. Organizations need to navigate the risks associated with climate change, including physical risks, regulatory changes, and reputational concerns.

Remote Work and Digital Transformation: The acceleration of remote work and digital transformation initiatives in response to global events. New risks related to remote cybersecurity, digital infrastructure resilience, and employee well-being emerge, requiring organizations to adapt their risk management approaches.

Robotic Process Automation (RPA) and Operational Risks: Increased use of RPA and automation in business processes. Risks related to system failures, errors in automated processes, and the potential for job displacement require careful consideration (Awotomilusi et al., 2023).

Regulatory and Compliance Challenges: Evolving regulatory landscapes in response to technological advancements. Organizations must navigate complex and changing regulatory environments to ensure compliance, manage legal risks, and avoid penalties.

2.1.3 Uncertainty

Uncertainty refers to a situation where outcomes or events are unpredictable, and there is a lack of complete knowledge or clarity about future circumstances. It is a condition marked by the absence of precise information, making it challenging to make accurate predictions or decisions (Gacheru, 2021). Uncertainty can arise from various factors, including incomplete information, complexity, ambiguity, and the unpredictability of events or outcomes. Effective management of uncertainty involves strategies such as scenario planning, risk analysis, flexibility in decision-making, and adaptability to changing circumstances. Acknowledging and understanding uncertainty is crucial for making informed decisions in situations where outcomes are unclear or unpredictable (Apochi et al., 2020). These are the key characteristics of uncertainty:

Incomplete Information: Uncertainty often arises when there is insufficient data or knowledge available to fully understand a situation or make precise predictions.

Ambiguity: Uncertain situations may involve multiple possible interpretations or meanings, making it difficult to discern the exact nature of events or outcomes.
Unpredictability: In uncertain conditions, outcomes are not easily forecasted, and events may unfold in ways that are challenging to anticipate.
Risk: While uncertainty and risk are related concepts, uncertainty often implies a higher degree of unpredictability, with outcomes that may not be quantifiable or easily measured.
Dynamic Nature: Uncertainty can be dynamic, changing over time as new information becomes available or as external factors evolve.
Subjectivity: Individuals or organizations may perceive and interpret uncertainty differently based on their perspectives, experiences, and available information.
Types of uncertainty include:
Epistemic Uncertainty: Arises from a lack of knowledge or information, and it can be reduced through learning and acquiring more data.
Aleatory Uncertainty: Inherent randomness or variability in outcomes that cannot be controlled, even with complete knowledge.
Ontological Uncertainty: Arises from the inherent complexity and unpredictability of certain phenomena, especially in dynamic and nonlinear systems.

2.1.4 Risk management, uncertainty, and organizational performance

The connection involving risk management, uncertainty, and organizational performance is a critical aspect of strategic management and decision-making within businesses. Risk management encompasses the process of identifying, evaluating, and prioritizing risks, followed by coordinated actions to mitigate, manage, or eliminate their consequences (Ahmed et al., 2018). Risks can encompass various factors, including financial risks, operational risks, strategic risks, and compliance risks. Effective risk management allows organizations to proactively handle potential challenges, capitalize on opportunities, and make informed decisions to achieve their objectives. It is a systematic approach to understanding, evaluating, and mitigating risks to protect the organization and enhance its resilience (Awotomilusi et al., 2023; Bensaid et al., 2021).

Uncertainty denotes the absence of predictability or clarity regarding forthcoming events, results, or circumstances. It is distinguished by vagueness, insufficient data, and the ever-changing nature of external elements. Uncertainty poses challenges to decision-makers as it introduces an element of unpredictability (Abdullah, 2019). Organizations need to recognize and navigate uncertainty to adapt to changing circumstances and make strategic choices that align with their goals. Organizational performance assesses how well a company achieves its objectives and goals. It encompasses various dimensions, including financial performance, operational efficiency, customer satisfaction, innovation, and employee engagement (Elamer & Benyazid, 2018).

The effectiveness of an organization's risk management and handling of uncertainties directly impacts its performance. Strategic decisions made in the face of uncertainty and the implementation of risk management practices contribute to overall organizational resilience and success (Oluwagbade et al., 2023). Effective risk management acknowledges and addresses uncertainties, incorporating them into strategic planning and decision-making processes. Organizations that integrate risk management into their culture are better prepared to handle uncertainty (Kiptoo et al., 2021). Well-executed risk management practices can positively impact organizational performance by minimizing the negative consequences of risks and seizing

opportunities that arise in uncertain environments. Organizations that can adapt and thrive in uncertain conditions are often more resilient and better positioned for sustained high performance (Odubasi et al., 2020). Understanding the interconnected nature of risk management, uncertainty, and organizational performance is essential for organizations seeking to navigate complex and dynamic business environments. Strategic approaches that embrace uncertainty while effectively managing risks contribute to the overall success and sustainability of an organization (Sie & Azlan, 2019).

2.2 Theoretical Framework

This article underpinned by prospect theory, prospect theory a subset of behavioral economics, elucidates the decision-making process when entities or individuals are faced with choices involving risk and uncertain probabilities of outcomes. Introduced in 1979 and refined in 1992 by Amos Tversky and Daniel Kahneman, it is deemed more psychologically accurate than the expected utility theory in capturing how decisions unfold. The crux of prospect theory lies in the assumption that given independent and singular choices, individuals perceive the probability of gain or loss as roughly 50/50, irrespective of the presented probability. Notably, losses bear a more significant emotional impact than equivalent gains, leading individuals to favor options framed with perceived gains (Tversky & Kahneman, 1979).

In the realm of risk management, decision-makers deviate from traditional rational models. They evaluate potential outcomes and associated risks, considering gains and losses in diverse scenarios. Behavioral biases, like loss aversion and framing effects intrinsic to prospect theory, play a pivotal role. Decision-makers may prioritize avoiding losses over maximizing gains, impacting the overall efficacy of risk management strategies. Effective risk communication is crucial, given prospect theory's influence on the decision-makers' perceptions. Tailoring messages to enhance comprehension, mitigate biases, and foster the adoption of risk management strategies becomes imperative.

Prospect theory acknowledges the adaptive nature of decision-making, allowing individuals to adjust risk preferences based on evolving circumstances. In uncertain environments, adaptive risk management practices are essential. Decision-makers must continually reassess and adjust strategies in response to emerging information and evolving business landscapes, aligning with prospect theory principles. Decisions influenced by prospect theory reverberate throughout organizational outcomes. Risk-taking behavior or aversion can shape performance metrics, innovation, and long-term strategic success. The efficacy of risk management practices, guided by prospect theory, directly contributes to organizational performance. Aligning risk strategies with decision-makers behavioral tendencies enhances the likelihood of achieving desired performance outcomes. Overall, prospect theory provides invaluable insights into cognitive processes influencing decision-making under uncertainty. Understanding these influences is crucial for aligning risk management practices with organizational objectives, improving decision quality, and ultimately enhancing overall performance in dynamic and uncertain environments.

2.3 Empirical Review

Numerous studies have explored the relationship between the characteristics of risk management practices, uncertainty, and organizational performance across various industries and countries. As an illustration, Oluwagbade et al. (2023) conducted a study on the influence of the effectiveness of risk management committee structure on the financial performance of institutions

listed on the Nigerian Exchange Group (NGX). Employing an ex-post facto research design, the investigation utilized data sorted out from the audited financial reports of the investigated 10 deposit money banks and 10 insurance companies on the NGX over ten years (2012 to 2021), determined by using a purposive sampling technique due to complete data availability. Descriptive statistics and panel regression analysis were employed. The results provided empirical evidence supporting the idea that the risk management committee (RMC) has a measurable and statistically significant influence on the financial performance of listed financial institutions in Nigeria. The study highlighted the meaningful relationship between the structure and effectiveness of the RMC within these institutions and various financial metrics or indicators, illustrating its role in shaping the financial performance of the listed firms.

Odubasi and colleagues (2022) conducted a study investigating how attributes of Risk Management Committees (RMCs) impact the financial performance of quoted firms across selected African countries. Their research, utilizing an ex-post facto design and secondary data sources, discovered that the diligence of the RMC positively influenced financial performance, while the size and independence of the committee had a negative and insignificant effect.

Similarly, Kiptoo et al. (2021) explored the effects of RMC size, independence, and expertise on the financial performance of publicly listed insurance companies in Nigeria from 2012 to 2018. Their study, based on a sample of 24 insurance companies, revealed that RMC expertise had a significant negative impact on Return on Assets (ROA), while the size and independence of the committee did not affect ROA.

In contrast, Odubuasi et al. (2020) reported that RMC size and composition had a slight negative impact on financial performance, whereas the gender diversity of the committee had a notable positive effect. Additionally, Lamidi et al. (2022) examined the influence of RMC attributes on the financial performance of listed banks in Nigeria from 2009 to 2018. Their findings, based on panel data analysis from banks' annual audited reports, indicated that RMC size and independence had negligible negative effects on financial performance, while the expertise of the committee had a significant inverse impact.

Abdullah (2019) conducted a study focusing on twenty-four insurance firms listed on the NGX, examining how Risk Committee (RC) size, RC independence, and RC expertise influence financial performance. Analyzing data from 2012 to 2018, the study found that RC size and independence had no significant impact on Return on Assets (ROA), while RC expertise had a significant, negative effect on the ROA of listed insurance firms in Nigeria.

Sie and Azlan (2019) investigated the relationship between board diversity and financial performance, with a particular interest in the moderating role of the Risk Management Committee (RMC). Their research involving the top 100 Public Limited Companies (PLCs) revealed significant correlations between director experience, board size, foreigner diversity, gender diversity, and financial achievement. However, an independent board did not exhibit such correlations. Notably, the study found no association between the RMC and superior financial returns regarding corporate governance characteristics.

Subramanian et al. (2019) examined the relationship between enterprise risk management and the financial performance of consumer goods companies. They discovered that the independence of the risk committee significantly influenced financial performance. Kakanda et al. (2018) explored the connection between Risk Management Committee (RMC) characteristics and

the market performance of financial service firms in Nigeria. Their findings suggested that the composition and meetings of the RMC positively impacted firm performance, while RMC size had a negative effect.

Elamer and Benyazid (2018) focused on publicly listed financial institutions from the FTSE-100 index in the United Kingdom. Utilizing an ordinary least squares (OLS) regression model, their analysis revealed a negative correlation between various aspects of the risk committee (presence, size, frequency of meetings, and independence) and financial performance. Ahmed et al. (2018) investigated the relationship between RMC attributes and board financial knowledge on the financial performance of listed banks in Nigeria. Their results showed that RMC size had a positive, albeit insignificant, effect on Return on Assets (ROA), while RMC independence and board financial knowledge were associated with a negative effect on ROA.

3 Methodology

This study employed an exploratory research design, which is particularly useful for gaining insights into a relatively uncharted area of inquiry. By focusing on exploration, the research aimed to identify patterns, relationships, and trends associated with the variables under investigation. Data were gathered from secondary sources, which involved conducting a comprehensive review of relevant existing literature. This literature review included academic journals, government reports, and industry publications that provide valuable information and findings related to the study's topic. By synthesizing this pre-existing knowledge, the study not only establishes a foundation for understanding the current research context but also identifies gaps in the literature that the current investigation seeks to address. This approach allows for a broader understanding of the subject matter and facilitates the development of informed hypotheses and conclusions based on established research.

4. Results and Discussion

The results underscored the complex interaction between risk management practices and uncertainty concerning organizational performance. The findings showed that organizations exhibiting effective and robust risk management practices, coupled with managing uncertainty adeptly, tended to achieve superior performance. These results suggest that there is a nuanced relationship between how organizations approach risk management practices and handle uncertainty, and the resulting impact on organizational performance. The term "complex interaction" implies that the relationship between risk management practices and uncertainty is multifaceted, involving various factors and dynamics.

The findings imply that organizations with both effective and robust risk management practices, along with a skillful approach to managing uncertainty, are more likely to experience superior performance. Effectiveness in risk management suggests that the organization has well-designed strategies and mechanisms in place to ascertain, assess, and mitigate risks. Robust risk management practices indicate that these strategies are not only effective but also resilient and adaptable to changing circumstances. Furthermore, the notion of managing uncertainty adeptly suggests that organizations are not just reacting to uncertainties but are proactively navigating through them. This could involve strategies such as scenario planning, flexibility in decision-making, and agility in adapting to unforeseen circumstances.

The link to superior performance implies that organizations that master both risk management and uncertainty handling are more likely to achieve positive outcomes, whether in

terms of financial success, operational efficiency, or other performance metrics. It emphasizes the importance of a holistic and integrated approach to risk management and uncertainty, indicating that organizations should not view these aspects in isolation but rather as interconnected elements influencing overall performance. These findings are inconsistent with the findings of prior studies such as Abdullah (2019), Subramanian et al. (2019), and Oluwagbade et al. (2023) among others.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

The study explores the intricate connection involving risk management, uncertainty, and organizational performance. The findings highlighted a complex interaction between these elements, suggesting a nuanced and multifaceted connection. Organizations that exhibit both effective and robust risk management practices, coupled with adept management of uncertainty, tend to achieve superior performance. The term "complex interaction" implies the involvement of various factors and dynamics in shaping the relationship. In conclusion, the study emphasizes the importance of an integrated approach to risk management and uncertainty for organizational success. Effectiveness in risk management, indicating well-designed strategies for risk identification and mitigation, combined with robust practices that are resilient and adaptable, contributes to superior performance. Moreover, the skillful handling of uncertainty through proactive strategies, such as scenario planning and flexible decision-making, further enhances organizational outcomes. The link to superior performance underscores the interconnected nature of risk management and uncertainty, urging organizations to adopt a holistic perspective.

Recommendations

Based on the findings, it is recommended that organizations should adopt integrated risk management strategies that consider both internal and external uncertainties to enhance overall effectiveness. Secondly, encourages adaptive risk management practices that can swiftly respond to changing circumstances, ensuring resilience in the face of uncertainty. Thirdly, implement proactive measures for managing uncertainty, such as scenario planning and continuous monitoring of the business environment. Lastly, foster a culture of organizational learning to continually improve risk management practices and enhance the ability to navigate uncertainties.

Contributions to Knowledge

This study contributes to the existing knowledge by shedding light on the intricate relationship between risk management, uncertainty, and organizational performance. It emphasizes the need for a comprehensive understanding of these elements as interconnected factors influencing success. The findings provide practical insights for organizations seeking to optimize their performance by adopting effective and adaptive approaches to risk management and uncertainty. The study adds value to the literature by offering a nuanced perspective on how organizations can achieve superior performance in dynamic and uncertain environments.

References

Abdullah, A. (2019). The Effectiveness of Risk Management Committee and Hedge Accounting Practices in Malaysia. *Information: An International Interdisciplinary Journal, 19*(7), 2971-2976.

Adebayo, H.O., Adeniyi, S.I., Nyikyaa, M., & Yohanna, A.J. (2020). Credit risk and financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria: A case study of Access Bank Plc. *Academic Journal of Economic Studies, 6*(3), 109-112.

Adegoke, K., & Oyedeko, Y.O. (2018). Financial risk and financial flexibility: evidence from deposit money banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Banking and Finance Research, 4*(1), 163-178.

Ahmed, H.A., Abdullahi, B.A., Mohamed, I.M., & Umar, A.M. (2018). The effect of risk management committee attributes and board financial knowledge on the financial performance of listed banks in Nigeria. *American International Journal of Business Management, 1*(5), 7 – 13.

Alasin, A., & Briggs, C. (2018). Hedge accounting and market value of quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria: Panel data evidence. *International Journal of Accounting & Finance Review; Vol. 2, No. 1; 2018, 2*(1), 1285-1293.

Apochi, J.G., Lasisi, I.O., & Okpanachi, J. (2020). Financial risk and profitability of listed deposit money banks in Nigeria: Moderating role of board diversity. *Gusau Journal of Accounting and Finance, 1*(2), 49-65.

Awotomilusi, N. S., Dagunduro, M. E., Dada, S. A., & Oluwagbade, O. I. (2023). An assessment of operational risk disclosure and financial performance of listed financial institutions in Nigeria. *Migration Letters, 20*(10), 299-322.

Bensaid, A., Ishak, S.I., & Mustapa, I.R. (2021). Risk management committee attributes: A review of the literature and future directions. *Universal Journal of Accounting and Finance, 9*(3), 388-395. doi:10.13189/ujaf.2021.090313

Elamer, A.A., & Benyazid, I. (2018). The impact of risk committee on financial performance of UK financial institutions. *International Journal of Accounting and Finance, 14*(6), 106-

Gacheru, C. (2021). Financial risks and its effect on financial performance of investment firms listed at the Nairobi securities exchange in Kenya. *Journal of Finance and Accounting, 5*(2), 86-102.

Hamdan, A. (2020). The Influence of Chief risk officer on the effectiveness of enterprise risk management: Evidence from Oman. *International Journal of Economics and Financial*

Kakanda, M. M., Salim B. &Chandren S. (2017). Risk management committee characteristics and market performance: Empirical evidence from listed financial firms in Nigeria. Proceedings of 94th IASTEM International Conference, Kuala Lumpur, Malasia

Kiptoo, I.K, Kariuki, S.N., & Ocharo, K.N. (2021). Risk management and financial performance of insurance firms in Kenya. *Cogent Business & Management, 8*(1), 23-46. doi:10.1080/23311975.2021.1997246

Lamidi, W.A., Adebayo, A.O., Olorede, T.E., & Oyekanmi, O.O. (2022). Risk management committees' characteristics and the financial performance of deposit money banks (DBMs) in Nigeria. *Journal of Accounting and Management, 12*(1), 305-325.

Odubuasi, A.C., Obi, A.V., & Osuagwu, B. (2020). Effect of risk management committee and enterprise risk management on performance of banks in Nigeria. *Journal of Social Management, 3*(1), 222-233.

Odubuasi, A.C., Ofor, N.T., & Ugbah, A. (2022). Risk committee effectiveness and financial performance indicator of quoted firms in selected African countries. *Journal of Financial Risk Management, 11*, 634-647.

Oluwagbade, O. I., Dagunduro, M. E., Dada, S. A., & Awotomilusi, N. S. (2023). Effect of risk management committee structure on financial performance of listed financial institutions in Nigeria. *Migration Letters, 20*(10), 279-298.

Sie, W. L., & Azlan, A. A. (2019). The moderating effect of the risk management committee on the relationship between board diversity and financial performance. Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting, 17(4), 635-655.

Subramaniam, N., McManus, L., & Zhang, J. (2019). Corporate governance, firm characteristics and risk management committee formation in Australian companies. *Managerial Auditing Journal, 24*(4), 316-339.

Tapang, A.T., Takon, S.M., Uklala, A.P., Obo, E.B., Effiong, E.J., Ihendinihu, J.U., Anyingang, R.A., & Nkamare, S.E. (2022). Financial risk management and performance of insurance companies: The moderating role of hedge accounting. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 25*(3), 50-66.

Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, *47*(2), 263-291.